

THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

PLINTRON TECHNOLOGIES USA LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSEPH PHILLIPS, RICHARD PELLY,
THOMAS MATHEW, GREG MCKERVEY,
and DESIREE MICHELLE GRAY,

Defendants.

JOSEPH PHILLIPS,

Counterclaim Plaintiff,

v.

PLINTRON TECHNOLOGIES USA LLC,

Counterclaim Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-00093-MJP

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
AND COUNTERCLAIM
PLAINTIFF JOSEPH PHILLIPS'
MOTION TO SEAL**

[PROPOSED]

**NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
MONDAY, JANUARY 27, 2025**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff Joseph Phillips' Motion to Seal. (Dkt. No. 79.) The Court considered the following documents in entering this Order:

1. Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff Joseph Phillips' Motion to Seal;
2. Declaration of Devin Smith in Support of Motion to Seal (Dkt. No. 80);
3. The other pleadings and files in the Court record.

ORDER:

Being fully advised in this matter, NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the instant Motion is GRANTED.

1. Exhibits G, H, I, J, K, L, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, and V of the Declaration of Devin Smith in Support Of Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Joseph Phillips' Motion For Leave To Amend Answer and Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 84) shall remain sealed in their entirety.

2. Certain information contained within the proposed first amended answer and counterclaims, attached as Exhibits A & B to the Smith Decl. (Dkt. No. 84), as well as certain information contained within Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Joseph Phillips' Motion For Leave To Amend Answer and Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 81), shall remain redacted. The sealed version of the motion, (see Dkt. No. 82,) shall remain sealed on the Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2025.



THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN